Internasional_An Indigenous Approach Positive .pdf



International Journal of Invovation, Creativity and Change. www.ijicc.netvolume.netvolum

An Indigenous Approach: Positive Affect and Negative Affect Measurement for Subjective Well-Being Components

Alimatus Sahrah^a, **Reny Yuniasanti**^b, ^{a,b}Faculty of Psychology, Mercu Buana Yogyakarta University, Jl. Wates km 10 Yogyakarta 55753 Indonesia, Email: ^{a*}sahrah@mercubuana-yogya.ac.id

It is important to have a measurement of positive and negative affect to know the level of someone happiness. However, indigenous approach in ms suring affect is rarely found in Indonesia. This study aims to develop a Positive Affect Scale (APOS) and a Negative Affect Scale (ANEG) with an indigenous psychology approach, which involves native Javanese Indonesian employees. This research consists of 3 studies; the first studies are (a) aiming at exploring Indonesians positive and negative affect expressions (b) involving 30 people (c) using interview method. The second study is (a) aiming at determining APOS and ANEG scale items based on respondents' judgment (b) involving 165 respondents (c) using Semantic Differential measurement as data collection method. The third study is (a) testing a psychometrically arranged scale (b) involving 293 respondents (c) using Likert scale model as the data collection method. From the first study, it can be concluded that there are 50 affect items consisting of 25 positive affect items and 25 negative affect items. The result of the second study was 15 positive affect items and 15 negative affect items that meet the standard item coefficient of more than 0.30, and Alpha Cronbach reliability coefficient of 0.970 for the APOS scale and 0.928 for the ANEG scale. The third study used confirmatory Factor Analysis technique to prove that the 26 items on the Positive Affect Scale and the Negative Affect Scale have two opposing components, namely 12 APOS items and 14 ANEG items. The correlation between APOS and ANEG scale is -0.276 (p < 0.01).

Key words: Indigenous approach, positive affect, negative affect, subjective well-being, measurement



International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change. www.ijicc.net Volume 7, Issue 12, 2019

Introduction

It is important for someone who is involved in human resources development to know how to measure people's happiness. It is because a happy employee will have low job stress, high work motivation, high work involvement, and high productivity (Ip, 2009; Pryce-Jones, 2010). However, how to measure someone's happiness is still debatable. Diener, Oishi, and Lucas (2003) who see happiness as subjective well-being believed (3 at it consists of two major components, cognitive component and affective component. Cog 3 ive component refers to the satisfaction of one's life. It is about how a person assesses the overall quality of life 10 Whereas the affective component is more related to how far a person feels the existence of positive and negative affect on him. Someone will be declared as a happy person if he feels a lot of positive affect and little negative affect (Diener et al., 2003).

Affects are conscious emotions experienced by individuals. Positive affect describes the existence of pleasant feelings or pleasantness while negative affect describes unpleasant feelings or unpleasantness. The negative affect consists of tension, despair, horror, annoyance, while positive affect consists of enthusiasm, strong, active, passionate, tough (Watson & Clark, 1988). However, how a person express a positive affect and negative affect can vary between cultures as cited by (Matsumoto, Seung, & Nakagawa, 2008). Matsumoto et al. (2008) stated that cultural norms determine management and modification of emotional appearance in accordance with social conditions. Kim and Park (2006) also explained that psychological theories actually concerned with cultural boundaries, regional values or value-laden and limited validity if they are to be used in different cultures. Those, that research on affect should pay attention to the cultural context in which the subject is located.

It can be concluded that the Indigenous psychological approach is very necessary to apply, as Kim and Park (2006) stated that culture has a very central role in perceiving social phenomena. Culture has a basic role as how physiology related to an individual's perception reality. Previous measurements on affects were already exist, for example it is about Positive and Negative Affect Schedule from Watson and Clark (1988) Affect Grid from Russell, Weis and Mendelsohn (2015), FS (Feeling Scale) from Hardy and Rejeski (1989), and PAAS (Physical Activity Affect Scale) from Lox, Treasure, and Wasley (2000). However, the compilation of indigenous psychological affect measurements on Javanese ethnic of Indonesians is still lacking. Therefore, the development of measurement of positive and negative affects to measure happiness by considering Indonesian social and cultural context is very much needed.

Research Methods

7

This research consists of 3 stages of study. The first study aims to explore the expression of positive affect and negative affect recording to Indonesian Javanese ethnic. The second study aims at constructing items of positive affect scale and negative affect scale based on respondents' judgment on the APOS scale and the ANEG scale. The third study is aimed to test the APOS scale and ANEG scale psychometrically.



International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change. www.ijicc.net/ Volume 7, Issue 12, 2019

Study-1

Study-1 used a qualitative method based on an indigenous psychology approach to explore the psychological constructs on positive and negative affect felt by employees of Javanese tribe in Indonesia. The data collection method uses interview techniques by involving 30 employees. This interview was conducted in two stages. The first stage of interviews were conducted individually. Then in the second stage of the research, subjects were put together in one Focus Group Discussion (FGD). Each subject of the study was asked some questions such as what expressions to show respondent's happiness and what expressions to show respondent's unhappiness. Then, all respondents meet in a FGD to indicate the happiness and unhappiness expressions.

12 idy-2

Study-2 is a continuation of Study-1. Study-2 uses a quantitative approach with a Semantic Differential measurement scale developed by O 21 od. A number of 165 subjects were asked to assess the appropriateness 42 each item from the positive and negative affect concluded in Study-1 and how it describes the positive and negative affect. The construction of the positive affect scale (APOS) and the negative affect scale (ANEG) measurement is derived from the items that have more than 0.30 of item's coefficient.

Study-3

In Study-3, the APOS-ANEG scale measurement construction is tested by using Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) technique, by SPSS. The number of respondents in study-3 were 293 people. The data collection method uses Likert scale with 6 alternative answers. They are from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (6).

Results

Study-1

In the first phants of study-1, researcher did interviews to 30 employees. It can be produced of 25 adjectives of positive affect and 25 adjectives of negative affect. While in the second phase of Study-1, the FGDs resulted 25 adjectives that could describe the APOS scale and 25 adjectives that described the ANEG scale.

Study-2

From study-2, it can be concluded that the APOS scale consists of 15 positive affect items and the ANEG scale consists of 15 negative affect. These items have met the standard of the different coefficient of more than 0.30, which can be seen in detail in table 1. By using reliability analysis techniques, it can be derived that the reliability coefficient of Alpha Cronbach for the APOS scale is 0.970 and 0.928 for the ANEG scale (see table 2).



International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change. www.ijicc.net Volume 7, Issue 12, 2019

Table 1: Item Difference Coefficient on Items APOS Scale & Item ANEG Scale Item

Item	Positive	Corrected		Negative Affect	Corrected
Code	Affect Items	Item-Total	No	Items	Item-Total
Code		Correlation		nems	Correlation
P1	Senang	.674	N1	Resah	.548
P2	Gembira	.773	N2	Sakit hati	.492
P3	Riang	.754	N3	Kecewa	.515
P4	Lega	.792	N4	Dongkol	.473
P5	Sukaria	.832	N5	Perih	.463
P6	Puas	.674	N6	Murka	.684
P7	Tresno	.773	N7	Jengkel	.642
P8	Ayem	.754	N8	Duka	.646
P9	Tentram	.792	N9	Benci	.647
P10	Aktif	.832	N10	Sebal	.609
P11	Bersemangat	.689	N11	Jemu	.534
P12	Girang	.654	N12	Muak	.667
P13	Sejahtera	.532	N13	Galau	.654
P14	Bangga	.716	N14	Berduka	.692
P15	Antusias	.566	N15	Gelisah	.641

Table 2: Validity and Reliability of APOS, and ANEG

Scale	Corrected Item-Total	Koefisien Relliabilitas
	Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha
APOS Scale	0.527 to 0.832	.970
ANEG Scale	0.492 to 0.692	.928

Study-3

The third study used Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) technique. This CFA technique is used to analyze psychological measurement tools, to determine the validation of the developed measuring constructs (Jackson, Gillaspy, & Purc-stephenson, 2009; Cohen & Swerdlik, 2010). Before conducting the CFA, the researchers have to make sure that all items used must have a community Extraction value of more than 0.50.



International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change. www.ijicc.net Volume 7, Issue 12, 2019

Table 3: Extraction Method: Communalities – 1

APOS	Initial	Extraction	ANEG	Initial	Extraction
P1	1.000	.686	N1	1.000	.853
P2	1.000	.771	N2	1.000	.790
P3	1.000	.745	N3	1.000	.757
P4	1.000	.765	N4	1.000	.730
P5	1.000	.846	N5	1.000	.414
P6	1.000	.619	N6	1.000	.691
P9	1.000	.631	N8	1.000	.734
P11	1.000	.686	N9	1.000	.778
P12	1.000	.771	N10	1.000	.722
P13	1.000	.745	N11	1.000	.666
P14	1.000	.765	N12	1.000	.518
P15	1.000	.846	N13	1.000	.816
P7	1.000	.460	N14	1.000	.821
P8	1.000	.486	N15	1.000	.852
P10	1.000	.416	N7	1.000	.816

It should be note that in conducting CFA, each item must be communal of the entire construct being studied. The value of the communalities will be fulfilled if the Measure Sampling of Adequacy (MSA) is greater than 0.50. If the MSA value is less than 0.50, it can be interpreted that the item is not a communality of the construct to be measured. Therefore, after looking at Table 3 about Extraction Method, there are still extraction values that is lower than 0.50. Therefore the items of N5, P7, P8 and 10 must be removed from the analysis process, and all items can be used to explain the extracted factor studied. The results of its Communalities can be seen in Table 4. The table shows that all Extraction values are greater than 0.50. So it can be concluded that all items can be used to explain the research factors and the subsequent CFA process can be carried out.

Table 4: Extraction Method: Communalities – 2

APOS	Initial	Extraction	ANEG	Initial	Extraction
P1	1.000	.694	N1	1.000	.857
P2	1.000	.785	N2	1.000	.798
P3	1.000	.757	N3	1.000	.752
P4	1.000	.785	N4	1.000	.728
P5	1.000	.854	N6	1.000	.698
P6	1.000	.618	N7	1.000	.821
P9	1.000	.601	N8	1.000	.740
P11	1.000	.694	N9	1.000	.781
P12	1.000	.785	N10	1.000	.726



International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change. www.ijicc.net/ Volume 7, Issue 12, 2019

P13	1.000	.757	N11	1.000	.643
P14	1.000	.785	N12	1.000	.510
P15	1.000	.854	N13	1.000	.821
			N14	1.000	.830
			N15	1.000	.857

The next process of Factor Analysis is to see eigenvalues for each component. Based on the rules, the components that have more than 1 for the Eigenvalues can be interpreted. Therefore, if it is seen from table 5 about the Initial Eigenvalues for each Component, it can be concluded that there are only two components that have more than 1.00 for the Eigenvalues with Variance component 1 of 48.34%, and Variance component 2 of 26.77%, and total cumulative of 75.11%.

Table 5: Initial Eigenvalues on each Component

Component	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	12.569	48.343	48.343
2	6.961	26.775	75.118
3	.881	3.388	78.506
to			
30	-1.165E-016	-3.883E-016	100.000

The two factor components of the CFA before being rotated can be seen in Table 6. After doing 3 times iterations of Varimax Rotation Method with Kaiser Normalisation, it can be derived a Rotated Component Matrix which can be produced in Table 6.

Table 6: Component Matrix

	C			C-	C		
	Cor	Component		Co	mponent		
	1	2		1	2		
P1	582	.596	N1	.771	.512		
P2	648	.604	N2	.772	.450		
P3	595	.634	N3	.736	.458		
P4	607	.645	N4	.752	.404		
P5	672	.635	N6	.723	.418		
P6	614	.490	N7	.813	.400		
P9	538	.558	N8	.791	.338		
P11	582	.596	N9	.761	.450		
P12	648	.604	N10	.759	.388		
P13	595	.634	N11	.710	.373		



International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change. www.ijicc.net Volume 7, Issue 12, 2019

P14	607	.645	N12	.588	.406
P15	672	.635	N13	.813	.400
			N14	.814	.410
			N15	.775	.507

Table 7: Rotated Component Matrix

	Component			Componer	nt
	1	2		1	2
P1	101	.827	N1	.924	061
P2	149	.873	N2	.886	111
P3	089	.865	N3	.863	082
P4	092	.881	N4	.843	135
P5	149	.912	N6	.829	106
P6	191	.762	N7	.889	175
P9	089	.770	N8	.834	212
P11	101	.827	N9	.877	104
P12	149	.873	N10	.839	151
P13	089	.865	N11	.790	134
P14	092	.881	N12	.714	033
P15	149	.912	N13	.889	175
			N14	.896	168
			N15	.924	066

It can be concluded that from table 7 there are 12 adjective items to express positive affect, and 14 adjective items that can be used to express negative affect. It means that the APOS scale consists of 12 items, namely affect positive and ANEG scale consists of 14 items, namely affect negative. Correlation coefficient results between the APOS scale and the ANEG scale is -0.276 (p <0.01) (table 8).



International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change. www.ijicc.net Volume 7, Issue 12, 2019

Table 8: Inter Correlations

	6	ANEG	APOS
ANEG	Pearson Correlation	1	-0.276**
	Sig. (1-tailed)		0.000
	N	293	293
POS	Pearson Correlation	-0.276**	1
	Sig. (1-tailed)	.000	
	N	293	293

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (1-tailed).

11 Discussion

This study aims to develop an instrument for measuring the affective component of Subjective Well-Being based on an indigenous psychology approach. Therefore, it is expected to identify the dynamics of individual happiness in Javanese context in Indonesia. This study combines qualitative and quantitative data analysis which is systematically divided into three stages of research, such as Study-1 which aims to explore the construct of happiness based on the indigenous psychology approach, Study-2 which aims to construct the happiness affect scale, and Study-3 which aims to psychometrically identify from the arranged affect scale.

Exploration of the affect of happiness and unhappiness is the most important study. It is because from this exploration, it is found that the results of the orientation of happiness and unhappiness affect inherent in the local community. Interview and FGD were used to explore the construct in determining what affect that can describe the feelings of happiness and unhappiness in Indonesian society. Based on the agreement of 30 employees of Javanese ethnic in Indonesia, there are 25 adjectives that describe someones happiness, and 25 adjectives that describe someones unhappiness are called positive affect, while adjectives that describe someones unhappiness are called negative affect.

The 50 adjectives that were resulted from Study-1, were constructed into a positive affect scale and a negative affect scale in Study-2. The 165 respondents in Study-2 were asked whether each adjective item on the positive affect scale represented a feeling of happiness, and whether each adjective item on the negative affect scale represented a feeling of unhappiness. This study aims to ensure that the items used in developing the scale are items that met the validity and reliability requirements. As Azwar (2011) & Widhiarso (2011) said that in the process of selecting items on a measurement scale, an important requirement that should not be abandoned is the condition of validity and reliability.



6

International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change. <u>www.ijicc.net</u> Volume 7, Issue 12, 2019

Validity refers to the extent to which a measuring instrument can measure what is to be measured (Suryabrata, 2005). Validating the scale means ensuring that each item is in accordance with the construct to through rational analysis. This step called logical validity (Azwar, 2011). It is done by finding the discrimination power of the items. The magnitude of the correlation coefficient between total items that is more than 0.30 is considered as part of the coefficient between total items of APOS scale got from studies-2, can obtain of 15 items that have a discriming on power of more than 0.30. Likewise, from 25 items of ANEG scale, it can obtain of 15 items that have a discrimination power of more than 0.30. After the selected items are declared valid, the internal consistency measurement is performed by using the Cronbach Alpha technique. From the internal consistency analysis, it can be concluded that the reliability of the Alpha Cronbach for the APOS scale is 0.970 and for the ANEG scale is 0.928. Thus it can be stated that both of these scales have very good internal consistency. It is mentioned by Azwar (2011) and Suryabrata (2005) that generally the reliability coefficient of a scale is called good if it has a reliability coefficient equal to or greater than 0.90.

After obtaining the results of scale construction in Study-2, it is necessary to do a theoretical test on more subjects empirically to see the suitability of item groups existing in a measuring instrument. In this research, CFA is used by factor analysis to see the factors or components that underlie the developed scale. According to Azwar (2011) factor analysis is a complex mathematical procedure to see the interconnection between variables or items. Similar variables will converge on one particular factor according to the theoretical assumptions proposed (Suryabrata, 2005). Thus, a theoretical construct might make it possible to have several underlying factors.

From the 3rd study, it can be concluded that the APOS_ANEG affect scale has 2 main factors. They are APOS and ANEG. Both factors have Eigenvalues of more than 1.00, and communality values of more than 0.50. After doing 3 times iterations of Varimax Rotation Method, the researcher come to the result that there are 12 adjective items to express positive affect, and there are 14 adjective items that can be used to express negative affect. That means that the APOS scale consists of 12 items, such as *senang, gembira, riang, lega, sukaria, puas, tentram, bersemangat, girang, sejahtera, bangga,* and *antusias.* While the ANEG scale consists of 14 items, namely *resah, sakit hati, kecewa, dongkol murka, jengkel, duka, benci, sebal, jemu, muak, galau, berduka,* and *gelisah.*

Convergent validity is necessary to be concluded because the convergent validity is part of the construct validity. This validity is based on the data obtained from the answers of 293 research subjects. This validity seeks connectivity between components in the scale structure. Convergent validity done by correlating subscales to a measuring instrument. If between subscales have a close relationship theoretically, it is expected that the correlation coefficient between the subscales is high, and vice versa. If it is stated that the subscales are theoretically less correlated, then the correlation coefficient between subscales will be low or even have a negative direction (Azwar, 2011; Suryabrata, 2005; Widhiarso, 2010). From the study-3, it can be concluded that the results of correlation analysis between the APOS scale and the ANEG scale produced a correlation coefficient of -0.276 (p <0.01). It means that between positive and negative affect has not closely related sub-scale.



International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change. www.ijicc.net
Volume 7, Issue 12, 2019



Conclusions and Suggestions

Based on the analysis and discussion of the study, it can be concluded that: 1. The positive and negative is affective component of the subjective well-being scale constructed based on the indigenous psychology approach, because the respondents is from Javanese employee, 2. The scale affect positive and negative of subjective well-being scale has good validity, all the items has correlated total item is ≥ 0.30 and acceptable degree of internal consistency reliability, 0.970 for APOS scale and 0.923 for ANEG scale. 3. Result of construct validity of the scale with VARIMAX rotation method is there is 12 items for reveal positive affect and 14 items for reveal negative affect. APOS scale consist of 12 items, that is glad, happy, cheerful, relieved, joyful, satisfied, peaceful, excited, amused, prosperous, proud, and enthusiastic. ANEG scale consists of 14 items, that is restless, hurt, disappointed, irritated, angry, peevish, sad, hated, resentful, tired, fed up, upset, grieved, and restless.



International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change. www.ijicc.net/ Volume 7, Issue 12, 2019

REFERENCES

- Azwar, S. (2011). Reliabilitas dan validitas. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Belajar.
- Cohen, RJ, & Swerdlik, ME (2010). Psychological testing and assessment: An introduction to tests and measurement. 7th.ed. McGraw-Hill.
- Diener, E., Oishi, S., & Lucas, R. E. (2003). Personality, culture, and subjective well-being: emotional and cognitive evaluations of life. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.54.101601.145056
- Hardy, C. J., & Rejeski, W. J. (1989). Not What , But How One Feels: The Measurement of Affect During Exercise, 304–317.
- Ip, P. (2009). Developing a Concept of Workplace Well-Being for Greater China Author (s): Po-Keung Ip Source: Social Indicators Research, Vol. 91, No. 1, Well-Being of Nations - A Cross-Cultural Published by: Springer Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/27, 91(1), 59–77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s
- Jackson, D. L., Gillaspy, J. A., & Purc-stephenson, R. (2009). Reporting Practices in Confirmatory Factor Analysis: An Overview and Some Recommendations, 14(1), 6–23. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014694
- Kim, U., & Park, Y. (2006). achievement in Korea: The influence of, 41(4), 287–292. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207590544000068
- Lox, C., Treasure, D., & Wasley, D. (2000). Revisiting the Measurement of Exercise-Induced Feeling States: The Physical Activity Affect Scale (PAAS), (June). https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327841Mpee0402
- Matsumoto, D., Seung, H. Y., & Nakagawa, S. (2008). Culture, Emotion Regulation, and Adjustment, 94(6), 925–937. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.94.6.925
- Pryce-Jones, J. (2010). Happiness at Work_ Maximizing Your Psychological Capital for Success. United Kingdom: Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Russell, J. A., Weiss, A., & Mendelsohn, G. A. (2015). Affect Grid: A Single-Item Scale of Pleasure and Arousal Affect Grid: A Single-Item Scale of Pleasure and Arousal, (September 1989). https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.57.3.493
- Suryabrata, S. 2005. Pengembangan Alat Ukur Psikologis. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi.
- Watson, D., & Clark, L. A. (1988). Development and Validation of Brief Measures of Positive and Negative Affect: The PANAS Scales, 54(6), 1063–1070.



International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change. www.ijicc.net Volume 7, Issue 12, 2019

Widhiarso, W. 2011. Penyusunan skala psikologi : Selesai seleksi aitem dilanjutannya dengan merakit skala. Diakses 11 Mei 2019.http://widhiarso.staff.ugm.ac.id/wp/penyusunan-skala-psikologi-selesai-seleksi-aitem-dilanjutannya-dengan-merakit-skala/

Internasional_An Indigenous Approach Positive .pdf

11% SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- irep.iium.edu.my 145 words 4%
- Avin Fadilla Helmi, Wahyu Widhiarso, Aftina Nurul Husna. "The Development of Online Friendship Scale", International Journal of Cyber Behavior, Psychology and Learning, 2017

 Crossref
- $\frac{\text{text-id.123dok.com}}{\text{Internet}} 17 \text{ words} < 1\%$
- www.econstor.eu

 Internet

 www.econstor.eu

 17 words < 1 %
- $\frac{17 \text{ www.tandfonline.com}}{11 \text{ words}} = \frac{100 \text{ www.tandfonline.com}}{11 \text{ words}} = \frac{100 \text{ words}}{100 \text{ words}} = \frac{100 \text{ word$
- "The Wiley Encyclopedia of Personality and Individual Differences", Wiley, 2020

 Crossref

 16 words -<1%
- Michielle N. Fisher. "Positive affect and disability among older Mexican Americans with arthritis", Arthritis & Rheumatism, 02/15/2004
- "Consumer Behavior, Organizational Strategy and 14 words < 1% Financial Economics", Springer Science and

Business Media LLC, 2018

Crossref

- Neda Hashemi, Maryam Marzban, Bernadette Sebar, Neil Harris. "Perceived discrimination and subjective well-being among Middle Eastern migrants in Australia: The moderating role of perceived social support", International Journal of Social Psychiatry, 2020 $_{\text{Crossref}}$
- etheses.bham.ac.uk
- 11 cce.nl \sim 12 words \sim 1 %
- hdl.handle.net 12 words < 1 %
- Selen Razon, Gershon Tenenbaum. "013 Measurement in sport and exercise psychology.", 11 words <1% American Psychological Association (APA), 2014 Crossref
- www.scirp.org 10 words < 1 %
- randd.defra.gov.uk

 Internet

 9 words < 1 %
- Jessica M. Gaby, Beverly J. Tepper. "A comparison of hedonic and emotional responses to common odors delivered by qPODs (Portable Olfactive Devices) and traditional sniff jars", Food Quality and Preference, 2020 $_{\text{Crossref}}$
- John Ivens. "The Development of a Happiness Measure for Schoolchildren", Educational

Psychology in Practice, 9/2007

Crossref

- Panteleimon Ekkekakis, Steven J Petruzzello. 8 words -<1% "Analysis of the affect measurement conundrum in exercise psychology", Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 2000 Crossref
- nbmconference.files.wordpress.com 8 words < 1%
- scholarspace.library.gwu.edu 8 words < 1 %
- Ed Diener, Christie Napa Scollon, Richard E. Lucas. 7 words < 1% "Chapter 4 The Evolving Concept of Subjective Well-Being: The Multifaceted Nature of Happiness", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2009

 Crossref

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE MATCHES

OFF