



Correspondance Authors of IJMKL



The originality of the work: *

- Poor
- Marginal
- Acceptable
- Good
- Honours

Scholarly relevance: *

- Poor
- Marginal
- Acceptable
- Good
- Honours

Professional/practice relevance: *

- Poor
- Marginal
- Acceptable
- Good
- Honours

Completeness of the work: *

- Poor
- Marginal

Completeness of the work: *

- Poor
- Marginal
- Acceptable
- Good
- Honours

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: *

- Poor
- Marginal
- Acceptable
- Good
- Honours

Organization of the manuscript: *

- Poor
- Marginal
- Acceptable
- Good
- Honours

The clarity in writing, tables, graphs and illustrations: *

- Poor
- Marginal



In your opinion, is the research methodology and treatment of the subject in the paper plausible and free of technical errors? *

- No
- Yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work? *

- No
- Yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism? *

- No
- Yes

What is the length of the manuscript? *

- Too short
- Proper length
- Too long

COMMENTS *

To assist the author(s) in revising his/her/their manuscript, please explain your assessment and present suggestions that would improve the quality of the manuscript.

COMMENTS *

To assist the author(s) in revising his/her/their manuscript, please explain your assessment and present suggestions that would improve the quality of the manuscript:

- 1. This research is very good, but it would be good if this research began with pre-research from a sample of the population, to be fair.
- 2. There are many explanations that are supported by references to scientific articles, but with past years. It would be better to use only the last 5 years.
- 3. Recommendations should be conveyed from the results of the research, so one finding will have one recommendation. More details are in the article that should be revised.

Upload

Upload files you would like the editor and/or author to consult, including revised versions of the original review file(s).

Reviewer Files

674	Reviewed ijmkI-review-assignment-167-Article+Text-647.docx	15 November 2024
-----	--	------------------------

Review Discussions

Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
No Items				

Recommendation

Select a recommendation and submit the review to complete the process. You must enter a review or upload a file before selecting a recommendation.

Upload

Upload files you would like the editor and/or author to consult, including revised versions of the original review file(s).

Reviewer Files

674	Reviewed ijmkI-review-assignment-167-Article+Text-647.docx	15 November 2024
-----	--	------------------------

Review Discussions

Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
No Items				

Recommendation

Select a recommendation and submit the review to complete the process. You must enter a review or upload a file before selecting a recommendation.

Choose One

[Submit Review](#) [Save for Later](#) [Go Back](#)

Required fields are marked with an asterisk: *

Upload

Upload files you would like the editor and/or author to consult, including revised versions of the original review file(s).

Reviewer Files

674	Reviewed ijmkI-review-assignment-167-Article+Text-647.docx	15 November 2024
-----	--	------------------------

Review Discussions

Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
No Items				

Recommendation

Select a recommendation and submit the review to complete the process. You must enter a review or upload a file before selecting a recommendation.

Revisions Required

[Submit Review](#) [Save for Later](#) [Go Back](#)

Required fields are marked with an asterisk: *



[Back to Submissions](#)

Review: Grade 8 learners' perceptions and misconceptions on their learning of surface area and volume in mathematics

- [1. Request](#)
- [2. Guidelines](#)
- [3. Download & Review](#)
- 4. Completion**

Review Submitted

Thank you for completing the review of this submission. Your review has been submitted successfully. We appreciate your contribution to the quality of the work that we publish; the editor may contact you again for more information if needed.

Review Discussions

[Add discussion](#)

Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
No Items				



Submissions

[My Queue](#) (1)

[Archived](#) (2)

[Help](#)

My Assigned

[Filters](#)

[New Submission](#)

167 **Review Assignment**

Grade 8 learners' perceptions and misconceptions on their learning of surface area and volume in ma...

✓ Review Submitted

[View](#)



Submissions

[My Queue](#) (1)

[Archived](#) (2)

[Help](#)

Archived

[Filters](#)

[New Submission](#)

146 **Review Assignment**

Project Management and Viability of the Company: Case Study

✓ Review Submitted

[View](#)

141 **Evina et al.**

Green Training, Green Recruitment, and Green Transformational Leadership on Employee Perf...

1

Published

[View](#)



